Annual Survey of the Washington Region's Market Makers

Prepared November 22, 2013



COAST TO COAST WITH DELTA ASSOCIATES

Market Studies | Repositioning Evaluations | Litigation Support



DELTA ASSOCIATES

Is a firm of experienced professionals offering consulting, valuation, and data services to the commercial real estate industry for over 30 years. The firm's practice is organized in four related areas:

CONSULTING, RESEARCH AND ADVISORY SERVICES

For commercial real estate projects, including market studies (FHA/HUD compliant), market entry strategies, asset performance enhancement studies, pre-acquisition due diligence, and financial and fiscal impact analyses.

LITIGATION SUPPORT

Services include dispute resolution, from forensic fact finding to mediation and expert witness services. Damages, material adverse change, and contract disputes are specialties.

VALUATION

Of partial interests in commercial real estate assets.

SUBSCRIPTION DATA

For select metro regions for office, industrial, retail, condominium, and apartment markets.

CONSULTING AND ADVISORY SERVICES

Gregory H. Leisch, CRE Chief Executive

P: 703.836.5700 | F: 703.836.5765 Greg.Leisch@DeltaAssociates.com

MARKET PUBLICATIONS GROUP

Elizabeth Norton Senior Vice President, National Research Director P: 703.299.6369 | F: 703.836.5765 Elizabeth.Norton@DeltaAssociates.com





INTRODUCTION

Following is Delta Associates' 18th annual Market Maker Survey Report. Each year we survey those who shape the real estate industry, including developers and financial experts, here in the Washington region. The survey addresses property performance, cap rate trends, investment returns, development costs, and business outlook. The tables that follow provide the results of the survey with comparison to prior years. In summary:

INVESTMENT WORTHINESS INDEX DOWN TO LOWEST LEVEL SINCE 2008 - BUT WASHINGTON AREA ASSETS CONTINUE TO APPEAL TO INVESTORS

The average investment worthiness score was 5.5 in 2013, down slightly from 5.7 in 2012. Scores ranged from 4.5 to 6.5, as all product types scored above the benchmark 5.0 investment worthiness line with the exception of suburban office. The significant shifts since last year include the rise of CBD office as an investment choice and the decline in the investment appeal of suburban hotels.

The most outstanding investment opportunities appear to be:

- Industrial Distribution driven by robust absorption led by a revolution in retailing and data centers
- Grocery Anchored Shopping Centers due to the nation's lowest vacancy rate
- Class B Garden Apartments a value add play for those who serve the flight to quality that is so prevalent in the region's apartment market

INVESTMENT WORTHINESS INDEX

Washington Region | 2009 - 2013 | (Score: 10 = Best Opportunity; 0 = Worst Opportunity)

PRODUCT TYPE	SCORE 2013	SCORE 2012	SCORE 2011	SCORE 2010	SCORE 2009
Office: Suburban - Class A	4.9	5.5	5.8	6.1	6.1
Office: Suburban - Class B	4.5	4.8	4.5	5.1	5.4
Office: CBD - Class A	5.6	5.2	6.4	6.1	6.6
Office: CBD - Class B	5.4	4.8	6.2	5.4	6.1
Industrial/Distribution: Class A	6.5	6.3	6.3	6.5	6.2
Shopping Center: Grocery Anchored - Class A	6.4	6.4	6.1	6.6	6.2
Apartments: Suburban Garden - Class A	5.5	5.6	7.0	6.4	7.1
Apartments: Suburban Garden - Class B	5.9	6.0	6.6	6.7	6.5
Apartments: High-Rise - Class A	5.8	5.6	7.3	6.3	6.8
Hotels: Suburban - Class A	5.1	6.3	6.1	6.5	5.3
Overall Average	5.5	5.7	6.2	6.2	6.2

Note: A score below 5.0 is considered to have more interested sellers than interested buyers. Transaction volume may not correspond with investment worthiness score because of substantial bid/ask spreads for some product types.

TARGETED CASH-ON-COST RETURNS: DOWN FOR ALL BUT OFFICE

Targeted cash-on-cost returns for new development decreased 11 basis points in 2013, after increasing 13 basis points in 2012. Although targeted returns have decreased over the past year, return expectations remain slightly above targets of 2011. The most notable decline occurred for Grocery Anchored Shopping Centers.

TARGETED CASH-ON-COST RETURN

PRODUCT TYPE	YEAR-END 2013	YEAR-END 2012	YEAR-END 2011
Office	9.16%	8.60%	8.38%
Industrial	8.57%	8.75%	8.31%
Grocery Anchored Shopping Center	8.17%	8.75%	9.10%
Apartments	6.91%	7.14%	6.93%
Unweighted Average	8.20%	8.31%	8.18%

CAPITALIZATION RATES: ALL PRODUCT TYPES DECLINE EXCEPT APARTMENTS

The average cap rate is 6.35% for all product types at year-end 2013 – a decrease of 32 basis points in 2013. Cap rates increased 20 basis points for Apartment product during 2013, as demand for this asset waned, likely due to a robust pipeline of new construction. This rise in cap rates was offset by declining cap rates for all other property types, as a result of low interest rates and increased demand for quality Washington metro real estate assets. Hotels and Office property types experienced the greatest cap rate declines during 2013, at 102 and 59 basis points, respectively, due to strong demand from investors for core assets in these classes. Federal austerity measures have reduced expectations some, but overall investor interest in Washington real estate assets remains solid.

Of note, cap rates remain well below the cyclical peak of 8.19% achieved in 2009.

We expect demand for high-quality Washington area assets to continue, as global investors value the relative safety of this market. Given the uncertain nature of the economy, however, we believe any further decline in cap rates during 2014 is likely to be modest. Most price increases will likely be earned from property performance improvement.

AVERAGE CAP RATE: BASIS POINT CHANGE

PRODUCT TYPE	OCTOBER 2012 VS. OCTOBER 2013
Hotels	-102
Office	-59
Industrial/Distribution	-26
Grocery Anchored Shopping Center	-25
Apartments	+20

Class A High-Rise Apartments and Class A CBD Office have the lowest cap rates at year-end 2013, indicating that the investment community believes they are the safest investments amid today's market conditions. Although the average cap rate edged up slightly between 2012 and 2013 for Class A High-Rise Apartments, they remain the lowest cap rate among Washington's commercial real estate options. As consumers remain concerned about employment security, many continue to be cautious about purchasing a home – or cannot meet down payment requirements. This caution bodes well for the apartment market, as those seeking housing find this product type desirable by comparison. However, cap rates could edge up further for this product type in the near-term, as this asset class has a robust pipeline set to deliver in 2014 – increasing competition among property owners. As office tenants look to occupy energy-efficient space and a more modern floor plate with collaborative and flexible work spaces, Class A CBD Office space is in high demand. Of note, the Class B office product that is most in demand is well-located product that can be rehabilitated into Class A space. Demand for commodity Class B office product is limited.

AVERAGE CAP RATES AT YEAR-END 2013

PRODUCT TYPE	ALL RESPONDENTS	DEVELOPERS/INVESTORS OF THIS PROPERTY TYPE
Apartments: High-Rise - Class A	5.11%	5.11%
Office: CBD - Class A	5.39%	5.39%
Apartments: Suburban Garden - Class A	5.70%	5.70%
Shopping Center: Grocery Anchored - Class A	6.14%	6.30%
Office: CBD - Class B	6.24%	6.24%
Apartments: Suburban Garden - Class B	6.39%	6.36%
Industrial/Distribution: Class A	6.74%	6.38%
Office: Suburban - Class A	6.90%	6.90%
Hotels: Suburban - Class A	6.98%	7.38%
Office: Suburban - Class B	7.88%	7.88%

Note: Please see Exhibit I-C following for cap rate comparisons to prior years.

THE REGIONAL ECONOMY: OUTLOOK FOR THE COMING YEAR IS SOMEWHAT MORE OPTIMISTIC

About half of respondents expect business condition in the coming year will be similar to the current year – a sentiment unchanged since last year's survey. However, 28% of respondents this year believe next year will be improved compared to only 17% who were so inclined in last year's survey.

AVERAGE DEVELOPMENT COSTS UP FOR APARTMENT AND OFFICE

The average development cost for CBD Office properties is \$414/SF, continuing to increase since the recession at 9.9% per year. The average cost to build High-Rise Apartments is \$322,000/unit, up 7.3% per year since the low point of the recession. These average costs include hard and soft costs, but exclude land.

SEQUESTRATION

Automatic across-the-board Federal budget cuts, totaling \$1.2 trillion over ten years, went into effect in March 2013. This dagger has been looming over the heads of businesses and consumers since November 2011, hampering economic growth due to uncertainty. However, the overall impacts of sequestration have been modest compared to expectations – largely due to Federal government austerity getting a head start in 2011, the outsized performance of the private sector, and the deferral of furloughs. Despite Federal austerity and related budget cuts, the Washington metro area continues to experience growth, although lackluster compared to previous recovery cycles. Given the uncertainty related to the continuing effects of sequestration, we felt this was an important topic to explore in this year's Market Maker survey.

Washington area payroll employment increased by 33,400 net new positions during the 12 months ending in August 2013. Although we are in an expansion cycle, we project that job growth will be modest compared to previous recovery cycles, with 40,000 to 60,000 new payroll jobs created on average each year from 2013 through 2017. The average job growth associated with prior expansion cycles is 60,000 to 100,000 new payroll jobs annually.

62% of respondents think that sequestration will reduce the Washington area's job growth by 1-5% in 2014. 11% of respondents think that sequestration will reduce the Washington area's job growth by more than 10% in 2014.



LEED-CERTIFIED OFFICE SPACE

The trend of tenants seeking "green" office space remains prominent. In this year's Market Maker survey we took a look at what type of rent premium office tenants are willing to pay for LEED-Certified space along with what share of office tenants are demanding LEED-Certified space. Sustainability practices are viewed by some tenants as a way to increase the bottom line; by others, as part of their mission statement. Investors are responding to the demand for sustainable office space. More than half of respondents said that 1-25% of office tenants are demanding LEED-Certified space.

Although demand for green space is on the rise, the rent premium tenants are willing to pay to lease such space remains less clear. 59% of respondents with office expertise believe that office tenants will pay some type of premium for LEED-Certified space, which compares to 41% who believe office tenants will not pay any premium. Only 6% of respondents with office expertise believe that tenants will pay a rent premium of greater than 10% for LEED-Certified space.

A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF THE SURVEY RESULTS BY PROPERTY TYPE

Office: The average investment worthiness score for Washington area Office product was 5.1, unchanged from 5.1 in 2012. CBD office properties scored above 5.0 – the investment worthiness benchmark – while suburban properties did not. Office properties closer to the core are in high demand from investors and are attractive to lenders. Out of all office product types, Class A CBD and Class B CBD properties ranked the highest, climbing to an average worthiness score of 5.6 and 5.4, respectively. Class A CBD properties outpaced Class B CBD properties slightly, as demand for Class A product is strongest. 45% of Office Building Developer/Owner respondents feel investment potential for Class A CBD Office product is moderate to high over the next four years, which compares to 33% for Class B CBD Office product.

Industrial/Distribution: The investment worthiness score was 6.5 during 2013, up from 6.3 during 2012. With the highest investment worthiness score out of all product types, Industrial/Distribution properties moved up two spots in the rankings, topping the list for the first time since the Market Maker survey has been conducted in 17 years. Large retailers such as Amazon are seeking to capture a greater share of the region's high disposable incomes by setting up local distribution operations. At the same time, industrial investors are reaping the benefits of high-credit national tenants. Also, investors are increasingly interested in data centers. As more individuals and businesses depend on the internet, the infrastructure that supports the internet needs to expand. This in turn increases additional demand for data centers. We expect this trend to continue – with particular focus on Northern Virginia.



Grocery Anchored Shopping Centers: This product type scored 6.4 for investment worthiness, unchanged from 6.4 in 2012. This product type was edged out of its top spot from last year's rankings and is now in second place for investment worthiness. Grocery anchored shopping centers are typically a favorite with investors during soft economic periods, since consumers still need groceries and basic goods during lean times. Overall, consumers are starting to spend money again, albeit slowly. Well-located Class A shopping centers with a dominant grocery anchor managed well during the recent downturn, as these centers were able to keep and lure quality tenants from Class B centers. 78% of Shopping Center Developer/Owner respondents believe that this sector's investment potential is moderate to high over the next four years, up from 50% one year ago.

Apartments: The average investment worthiness score for Washington area Apartment product was 5.7, unchanged from 5.7 in 2012. Despite this lull, all apartment product types scored above 5.0 – the investment worthiness benchmark. Class B Suburban Garden ranked the highest of all apartment product types with an average score of 5.9. Class A High-Rise and Class A Suburban Garden scored 5.8 and 5.5, respectively, in 2013. Suburban Class B Apartments are a target for value-add investors looking to renovate and appeal to the move-up market.

Following the drought of units built in 2009 and 2010 due to tightened credit markets and poor property performance metrics, construction came roaring back in 2011, plateauing in 2012. Construction remains robust in 2013, putting downward pressure on rents over the next 24 months. However, demographic trends paint a bright future for the apartment marketplace, as a large group of Millennials will demand the flexible housing arrangements that apartments provide, and those in the Millennial cohort who are currently doubling up or living with their parents will eventually "age out" (or be nudged out) and look for their own place, further enhancing demand over the long term. The greater down payments now required to buy a home also play to the advantage of the rental market, particularly for this younger age group.

Hotels: Suburban Class A Hotel product declined six spots to eighth place in 2013. This product type scored 5.1 for investment worthiness, down from 6.3 in 2012. Despite this slip, Hotels scored above 5.0 – the investment worthiness benchmark. This property type is currently experiencing a decline in investor interest due to the limiting effects on travel of Federal austerity measures and a significant construction pipeline of new units.

	YEAR-EN	YEAR-END 2008	YEAR-END 2009	ID 2009	YEAR-END 2010	2010	YEAR-END 2011	D 2011	YEAR-END 2012	D 2012	YEAR-END 2013	D 2013	CHANGE IN RANK:
	SCORE	RANK	SCORE	RANK	SCORE	RANK	SCORE	RANK	SCORE	RANK	SCORE	RANK	'12-'13
Office: Suburban - Class A	4.2	00	6.1	00	6.1	00	5.8	6	5.5	7	4.9	6	-2
Office: Suburban - Class B	3.8	6	5.4	6	5.1	10	4.5	10	4.8	6	4.5	10	-1
Office: CBD - Class A	2.0	c	9.9	c	6.1	7	6.4	4	5.2	00	5.6	2	cc
Office: CBD - Class B	4.9	4	6.1	7	5.4	6	6.2	9	4.8	10	5.4	7	3
Average Office Investment Worthiness	4.5		0.9		5.7		5.7		5.1		5.1		
Industrial/Distribution: Class A	5.1	2	6.2	9	6.5	3	6.3	2	6.3	3	6.5	1	2
Shopping Center: Grocery Anchored - Class A	4.3	7	6.2	2	9.9	2	6.1	00	6.4	1	6.4	2	-1
Apartments: Suburban Garden - Class A	4.8	2	7.1	1	6.4	2	7.0	2	5.6	9	5.5	9	0
Apartments: Suburban Garden - Class B	4.3	9	6.5	4	6.7	1	9.9	3	0.9	4	5.9	3	1
Apartments: High-Rise - Class A	5.3	1	8.9	2	6.3	9	7.3	1	2.6	2	2.8	4	1
Average Apartment Investment Worthiness	4.8		8.9		6.5		7.0		5.7		5.7		
Hotels: Suburban - Class A	2.7	10	5.3	10	6.5	4	6.1	7	6.3	2	5.1	00	9-
Average All Property Types	4.4		6.2		6.2		6.2		5.7		5.5		

I. B. TARGET CASH-ON-COST RETURN REQUIREMENTS (BY AREAS OF EXPERTISE)

PRODUCT TYPE	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Office	9.30%	%06.6	9.48%	8.38%	8.60%	9.16%
Industrial	9.75%	11.10%	8.65%	8.31%	8.75%	8.57%
Grocery Anchored Shopping Center	%59.6	10.97%	9.50%	9.10%	8.75%	8.17%
Apartments	8.32%	8.95%	7.67%	6.93%	7.14%	6.91%
Unweighted Average: All Industries	9.26%	10.23%	8.83%	8.18%	8.31%	8.20%
M. 4	athen and ante					

I. C. TRENDS IN AVERAGE CAP RATE (AFTER RESERVES)

BOXT TO LOCAT			DATE OF SURVEY	SURVEY					BASIS POINT CHANGE		
	Oct-08	Oct-09	Oct-10	Oct-11	Oct-12	Oct-13	Oct '08- Oct '09	Oct '09- Oct '10	Oct '10- Oct '11	Oct '11- Oct '12	Oct '12- Oct '13
Office: Suburban - Class A	7.57%	8.54%	7.56%	%68.9	7.25%	%06.9	26	66-	99-	36	-35
Office: Suburban - Class B	8.28%	9.44%	8.44%	7.73%	8.37%	7.88%	116	-100	-71	64	-49
Office: CBD - Class A	%69.9	7.25%	5.83%	2.80%	6.12%	5.39%	26	-142	ę,	32	-73
Office: CBD - Class B	7.47%	8.16%	898.9	6.77%	7.01%	6.24%	69	-130	6-1	24	77-
Average Office Cap Rate	7.50%	8.35%	7.17%	%08.9	7.19%	%09'9	82	-118	-37	33	-59
Industrial/Distribution: Class A	7.79%	8.91%	7.92%	7.23%	7.00%	6.74%	112	66-	69-	-23	-26
Shopping Center: Grocery Anchored - Class A	7.46%	8.51%	7.12%	6.42%	6.39%	6.14%	105	-139	-70	κ'n	-25
Apartments: Suburban Garden - Class A	6.65%	7.09%	5.79%	2.95%	2.36%	5.70%	44	-130	16	-59	34
Apartments: Suburban Garden - Class B	7.17%	7.75%	6.42%	6.40%	6.17%	6.39%	58	-133	-2	-23	22
Apartments: High-Rise - Class A	6.44%	6.61%	5.29%	4.94%	2.06%	5.11%	17	-133	-35	12	201
Average Apartment Cap Rate	%92'9	7.15%	5.83%	2.76%	5.53%	5.73%	39	-132	<i>L-</i>	-23	20
Hotels: Suburban - Class A	8.66%	%99.6	8.31%	8.44%	8.00%	%86.9	100	-135	13	-44	-102
Average All Property Types	7.42%	8.19%	6.95%	%99'9	%29'9	6.35%	77	-124	-30	2	-32

I. A. INVESTMENT WORTHINESS INDEX AT YEAR-END 2013 (SCORE: 10 = BEST OPPORTUNITY; 0 = WORST OPPORTUNITY)

YEAR-END 2013

I. D. BUSINESS CONDITIONS THIS YEAR WERE:

		DATE OF SURVEY	SURVEY	
	Oct-10	Oct-11	Oct-12	Oct-13
Better Than Prior Year	%92	78%	42%	37%
About the Same as Prior Year	22%	%09	33%	34%
Worse Than Prior Year	2%	13%	25%	78%
Total	100%	100%	100%	700%
N-4 A 16 17 to 1000/ 11 4 17:	and the second of the second			

I. E. EXPECT BUSINESS CONDITIONS NEXT YEAR TO BE:

			TEV C	7110113		
			DAIRO	DATE OF SURVEY		
	Oct-08	Oct-09	Oct-10	Oct-11	Oct-12	Oct-13
Better Than Prior Year	2%	44%	%99	31%	25%	725%
About the Same as Prior Year	32%	43%	32%	52%	%95	20%
Worse Than Prior Year	93%	13%	2%	17%	19%	25%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Note: Annual figures may not add to 100% due to rounding	% due to rounding.					

I. F. EXPECT BUSINESS CONDITIONS TO CHANGE IN:

	EXPECT CONDITIONS TO IMPROVE	EXPECT CONDITIONS TO DECLINE										
Year	20	2008	2009	96	2010	10	2011		2012	.2	2013	e;
2008	NA	AN	NA	NA	NA							
2009	NA	2%	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	AN	NA	AN	NA
2010	33%	11%	1%	%0	NA	NA	NA	NA	ΑN	AN	NA	AN
2011	20%	%0	26%	10%	10%	%0	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
2012	1%	%0	22%	%0	22%	3%	%0	3%	NA	NA	ΝΑ	NA
2013	NA	NA	10%	%0	20%	2%	32%	21%	%0	10%	%0	2%
2014	NA	NA	NA	NA	%8	%0	78%	11%	40%	15%	38%	14%
2015	NA	NA	NA	NA	ΝΑ	NA	2%	%0	25%	2%	24%	%0
2016	NA	2%	%0	10%	%0							
2017	NA	ΝΑ	NA	2%	%0							
2018	NA	AN	NA	%0	2%							
Total	84%	16%	%06	10%	93%	%8	%99	34%	%02	30%	%92	24%
	111		10 0									

Note: Question was the respondent's choice of either: "I expect business conditions to improve in..." or "I expect business conditions to worsen in..." Figures for each year may not add to total due to rounding.

Source: Delta Associates, November 2013.

DELTA ASSOCIATES' MARKET MAKER SURVEY

WASHINGTON, D.C. REGION YEAR-END 2013

II. A. AT OCTOBER 2013, HOW RESPONDENTS VIEW BUSINESS CONDITIONS OVER THE NEXT YEAR COMPARED TO PRIOR YEAR.

		PRIMARY EXPERTI	SE OF RESPONDENTS	
	APARTMENTS	OFFICE	ALL OTHERS	ALL RESPONDENTS
Will Be Better in 2014	17%	17%	35%	25%
Will Be About the Same in 2014 as in 2013	25%	83%	50%	50%
Will Be Worse in 2014	58%	0%	15%	25%
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

Note: Respondents who had more than one area of expertise, expertise outside of the apartment or office markets, or general market knowledge were included in the "All Others" category.

Totals may not add to 100% due to rounding.

II. B (i). AT OCTOBER 2013, WHEN RESPONDENTS EXPECT BUSINESS CONDITIONS TO IMPROVE, BY AREA OF EXPERTISE (AMONG THOSE WHO EXPECT THEM TO IMPROVE OVER THE NEXT SEVERAL YEARS).

		PRIMARY EXPERTI	SE OF RESPONDENTS	
	APARTMENTS	OFFICE	ALL OTHERS	ALL RESPONDENTS
2013	0%	0%	0%	0%
2014	14%	100%	45%	38%
2015	29%	0%	18%	24%
2016	14%	0%	9%	10%
2017+	0%	0%	9%	5%
Total	57%	100%	82%	76%

Note: Respondents who had more than one area of expertise, expertise outside of the apartment or office

 $markets, or general\ market\ knowledge\ were\ included\ in\ the\ "All\ Others"\ category.$

Totals may not add to 100% due to rounding.

II. B. (ii) AT OCTOBER 2013, WHEN RESPONDENTS EXPECT BUSINESS CONDITIONS TO DECLINE, BY AREA OF EXPERTISE (AMONG THOSE WHO EXPECT THEM TO DECLINE OVER THE NEXT SEVERAL YEARS).

		PRIMARY EXPERTI	SE OF RESPONDENTS	
	APARTMENTS	OFFICE	ALL OTHERS	ALL RESPONDENTS
2013	14%	0%	0%	5%
2014	29%	0%	9%	14%
2015	0%	0%	0%	0%
2016+	0%	0%	9%	5%
Total	43%	0%	18%	24%

Note: Respondents who had more than one area of expertise, expertise outside of the apartment or office

 $markets, or general\ market\ knowledge\ were\ included\ in\ the\ "All\ Others"\ category.$

Totals may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Source: Delta Associates, November 2013.

DELTA ASSOCIATES' MARKET MAKER SURVEY
WASHINGTON, D.C. REGION
YEAR-END 2013

III. TOTAL DEVELOPMENT COSTS (INCLUDING HARD AND SOFT COSTS, BUT EXCLUDING LAND)

		2009			2010			2011			2012			2013	
Product Type	Hard Costs	Soft Costs	Total Costs	Hard Costs	Soft Costs	Total Costs	Hard Costs	Soft Costs	Total Costs	Hard Costs	Soft Costs	Total Costs	Hard Costs	Soft Costs	Total Costs
Office - All Locations (per SF)	\$213	\$75	\$288	\$196	\$64	\$260	\$268	\$78	\$346	\$239	06\$	\$329	\$286	\$95	\$381
CBD Office (per SF)	\$261	\$108	\$369	\$235	\$84	\$319	\$313	\$115	\$428	\$313	\$125	\$438	\$313	\$101	\$414
ndustrial/Distribution - All Locations (per SF)	\$49	\$26	\$75	\$33	\$10	\$43	\$35	\$15	\$50	NA	NA	AN	\$68	\$52	\$120
spartment - All Locations (per unit)	\$177,000	\$43,000	\$220,000	\$157,000	\$48,000	\$205,000	\$166,000	\$48,000	\$214,000	\$160,000	\$51,000	\$211,000	\$211,000	\$61,000	\$272,000
High-Rise Apartment (per unit)	\$243,000	\$54,000	\$297,000	\$203,000	\$61,000	\$264,000	\$219,000	\$53,000	\$272,000	\$207,000	\$66,000	\$273,000	\$256,000	\$66,000	\$322,000

DELTA ASSOCIATES

 $NA = notenough\ data\ to\ draw\ meaningful\ conclusions$ Source: Delta Associates, November 2013.

DELTA ASSOCIATES' MARKET MAKER SURVEY

WASHINGTON, D.C. REGION YEAR-END 2013

IV. A. BY HOW MUCH DO YOU EXPECT SEQUESTRATION WILL REDUCE THE WASHINGTON AREA'S JOB GROWTH IN 2014:

	ECONOMIC INDICATOR JOB GROWTH
0%	11%
1-5%	62%
6-10%	16%
Greater than 10%	11%
Total	100%

Note: Totals may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Source: Delta Associates, November 2013.

DELTA ASSOCIATES' MARKET MAKER SURVEY

WASHINGTON, D.C. REGION YEAR-END 2013

V. A. HOW MUCH OF A PREMIUM WILL OFFICE TENANTS PAY FOR LEED-CERTIFIED SPACE (ALL RESPONDENTS):

		PER	CENT PREM	ИIUM	
	0%	1-5%	6-10%	More than 10%	Total
LEED-Platinum Space	38%	48%	14%	0%	100%
LEED-Gold Space	45%	45%	5%	5%	100%
LEED-Silver Space	50%	40%	5%	5%	100%
LEED-Certified Space (Regardless of Certification Level)	44%	44%	8%	3%	100%

Note: Figures may not add to 100% due to rounding.

V. B. HOW MUCH OF A PREMIUM WILL OFFICE TENANTS PAY FOR LEED-CERTIFIED SPACE (RESPONDENTS WITH OFFICE EXPERTISE):

		PER	CENT PREM	MUIM	
	0%	1-5%	6-10%	More than 10%	Total
LEED-Platinum Space	33%	50%	17%	0%	100%
LEED-Gold Space	45%	36%	9%	9%	100%
LEED-Silver Space	45%	36%	9%	9%	100%
LEED-Certified Space (Regardless of Certification Level)	41%	41%	12%	6%	100%

Note: Figures may not add to 100% due to rounding.

V. C. WHAT SHARE OF OFFICE TENANTS TODAY IS DEMANDING LEED-CERTIFIED SPACE

		SHARE DE	MANDING LI	EED-CERTIF	IED SPACE	
	0%	1-25%	26-50%	51-75%	More than 75%	Total
Share of Office Tenants Demanding LEED-Certified Space	12%	65%	6%	12%	6%	100%

Note: Figures may not add to 100% due to rounding.

Source: Delta Associates, November 2013.

WASHINGTOR	YEAR-E	

	an vey	our vey At October 2008	Salvey A	onivey At October 2003	an vey A	Suivey At October 2010	Salvey A	Suivey At October 2011	an vey At	Sulvey At October 2012	ani vey A	onivey At October 2013
	All	Office Building	All	Office Building	All	Office Building	All	Office Building	All	Office Building	All	Office Building
	Sillaniindeau	respondents revelopers/owners respondents reveropers/owners respondents respondents respondents reveropers/owners	vespondents	Developers/ Owners	vesboundents	Developers/Owners	vesboundents	Developers/Owners	silianiindeau	Developers/Owilers		Developers/Owners
Percent of Respondents Who Feel Investment Potential A. Is Moderate To High Over The Next 4 Years.	32%	33%	54%	22%	26%	26%	45%	20%	45%	20%	36%	33%
B. Average Cap Rate (Past 12 Months NOI after Reserves).	7.57%	7.57%	8.54%	8.53%	7.56%	7.59%	%68.9	6.89%	7.25%	7.27%	%06:9	%06.9
VI. B. OFFICE: SUBURBAN - CLASS B												
	Survey /	Survey At October 2008	Survey A	Survey At October 2009	Survey At	Survey At October 2010	Survey A	Survey At October 2011	Survey At	Survey At October 2012	Survey At	Survey At October 2013
	All Respondents	All Office Building All Office Building All Office Building All Office Building Respondents Developers/Owners Respondents Deve	All Respondents	Office Building Developers/Owners								
Percent of Respondents Who Feel Investment Potential A. Is Moderate To High Over The Next 4 Years.	78%	78%	39%	41%	30%	30%	25%	28%	38%	42%	27%	24%
B. Average Cap Rate (Past 12 Months NOI after Reserves).	8.28%	8.27%	9.44%	9.40%	8.44%	8.50%	7.73%	7.73%	8.37%	8.39%	7.88%	7.88%

VI. A. OFFICE: SUBURBAN - CLASS A

33%

37%

39%

35%

%19

40%

40%

20%

47%

21%

29%

Percent of Respondents Who Feel Investment Poten is Moderate To High Over The Next 4 Years.

6.24%

6.95%

7.01%

6.77%

6.77%

6.95%

898.9

8.08%

8.16%

7.40%

Average Cap Rate (Past 12 Months NOI after Reserves).

DELTA ASSOCIATES' MARKET MAKER SURVEY WASHINGTON, D.C. REGION YEAR-END 2013

WASF

	Survey A	Survey At October 2008	Survey A	Survey At October 2009	Survey At	Survey At October 2010	Survey A	Survey At October 2011	Survey A	Survey At October 2012	Survey /	Survey At October 2013
	ΙΑ	Office Building	All	Office Building	ΗΑ	Office Building	All	Office Building	All	Office Building	Η	Office Building
	Respondents	Respondents Developers/Owners Respondents Developers/Owners Respondents	Respondents	Developers/Owners	Respondents	Developers/Owners	Respondents	Developers/Owners	Respondents	Developers/Owners Respondents Developers/Owners Respondents Developers/Owners	Respondents	Developers/Owners
A. Percent of Respondents Who Feel Investment Potential is Moderate To High Over The Next 4 Years.		33%	28%	62%	%09	%09	828	47%	40%	44%	43%	45%
B. Average Cap Rate (Past 12 Months NOI after Reserves).	%69'9	6.60%	7.25%	7.18%	5.83%	5.85%	5.80%	5.88%	6.12%	6.13%	5.39%	5.39%
VI. D. OFFICE: CBD - CLASS B												
	Survey /	Survey At October 2008	Survey At	Survey At October 2009	Survey At	Survey At October 2010	Survey A	Survey At October 2011	Survey A	Survey At October 2012	Survey	Survey At October 2013
	Ι¥	Office Building	IA	Office Building	W	Office Building	Ψ	Office Building	IA	Office Building	I	Office Building

en Doller denomination Mossesshow

VI. C. OFFICE: CBD - CLASS A

6.30%

6.37%

6.39%

6.49%

6.42%

7.10%

7.12%

8.42%

8.51%

7.39%

7.46%

Average Cap Rate (Past 12 Months NOI after Reserves).

DELTA ASSOCIATES' MARKET MAKER SURVEY WASHINGTON, D.C. REGION YEAR-END 2013

VI. E. INDUSTRIAL/DISTRIBUTION: CLASS A

	Survey	Survey At October 2008	Survey	Survey At October 2009	Survey	Survey At October 2010	survey /	Survey At October 2011	Survey	Survey At October 2012		Survey At October 2013
	W	Industrial/Distribution	Η	Industrial/Distribution	ΑII	Industrial/Distribution	W	Industrial/Distribution	ΙΨ	Industrial/Distribution	ΑII	Industrial/Distribution
	Respondents	Developers/Owners	Respondents	Developers/Owners	Respondents	Respondents Developers/Owners Respon	Respondents	Developers/Owners	Respondents	Developers/Owners	Respondents	Developers/Owners
Percent of Respondents Who Feel Investment Potential Is Moderate To High Over The Next 4 Years.	35%	38%	%59	92%	62%	64%	61%	28%	928%	%89	77%	75%
B. Average Cap Rate (Past 12 Months NOI after Reserves).	7.79%	7.79%	8.91%	9.07%	7.92%	7.90%	7.23%	7.34%	7.00%	6.75%	6.74%	6.38%
VI. F. SHOPPING CENTER: GROCERY ANCHORED - CLASS A												
	Survey	Survey At October 2008	Survey	Survey At October 2009	Survey	Survey At October 2010	Survey A	Survey At October 2011	Survey /	Survey At October 2012	Survey A	Survey At October 2013
	All Respondents	Shopping Center Developers/Owners	All Respondents	Shopping Center Developers/Owners	All Respondents	Shopping Center Developers/Owners		All Shopping Center All Shopping Center All Shopping Center Respondents Developers/Owners Respon	All Respondents	Shopping Center Developers/Owners	All Respondents	Shopping Center Developers/Owners
Percent of Respondents Who Feel Investment Potential A. Is Moderate To High Over The Next 4 Years.	29%	31%	29%	64%	76%	73%	61%	2,6%	29%	%05	%69	78%

Source: Delta Associates, November 2013.

	All Respondents	Apartment Building Developers/Owners	All	Apartment Building Developers/Owners	All	Apartment Building Developers/Owners	All	Apartment Building Developers/Owners	All Respondents	Apartment Building Developers/Owners	All	Apartment Building Developers/Owners
Percent of Respondents Who Feel Investment A. Potential Is Moderate To High Over The Next 4 Years.	39%		79%	83%					43%	45%	44%	42%
Average Cap Rate (Past 12 Months NOI after Reserves).	9.65%	6.63%	7.09%	7.10%	5.79%	5.75%	5.95%	9.00%	5.36%	5.36%	5.70%	5.70%
VI. H. APARTIMENTS: SUBURBAN GARDEN - CLASS B												
	Survey	Survey At October 2008	Survey A	Survey At October 2009	Survey A	Survey At October 2010	Survey A	Survey At October 2011	Survey At	Survey At October 2012	Survey A	Survey At October 2013
	All Respondents	Apartment Building Developers/Owners										
Percent of Respondents Who Feel Investment A. Potential Is Moderate To High Over The Next 4 Years.	33%	38%	70%	74%	78%	81%	78%	83%	20%	52%	54%	%95
Average Cap Rate (Past 12 Months NOI after Reserves).	7.17%	7.17%	7.75%	7.77%	6.42%	6.37%	6.40%	6.41%	6.17%	6.17%	6.39%	6.36%

Source: Delta Associates, November 2013.

DELTA ASSOCIATES

VI. G. APARTMENTS: SUBURBAN GARDEN - CLASS A

	Sansey	Survey At October 2008	Survey A	Survey At October 2009	y Animal Salinaey	Survey At October 2010	sarvey	Survey At October 2011	y danied A	Survey At October 2012	Survey As	Survey At October 2013
	All	Apartment Building	All	Apartment Building	All	Apartment Building	All	Apartment Building	All	Apartment Building	All	Apartment Building
Percent of Respondents Who Feel Investment Potential A. Is Moderate To High Over The Next 4 Years.				76%		61%	%89			41%		61%
B. Average Cap Rate (Past 12 Months NOI after Reserves).	6.44%	6.37%	6.61%	6.62%	5.29%	5.25%	4.94%	5.00%	5.06%	5.06%	5.11%	5.11%
VI.J. HOTELS: SUBURBAN- CLASS A												
	Survey	Survey At October 2008	Survey A	Survey At October 2009	Survey A	Survey At October 2010	Survey	Survey At October 2011	Survey A	Survey At October 2012	Survey At	Survey At October 2013
	All Respondents	Hotel All Developers/Owners Respondents	All Respondents	Hotel Developers/Owners								
Percent of Respondents Who Feel Investment Potential A. Is Moderate To High Over The Next 4 Years.	2%	10%	39%	20%	45%	%29	25%	43%	77%	75%	40%	43%
B. Average Cap Rate (Past 12 Months NOI after Reserves).	8.66%	8.86%	%99'6	10.18%	8.31%	8.70%	8.44%	8.83%	8.00%	8.20%	%86'9	7.38%

. Delta Associates November 21

VI. I. APARTMENTS: HIGH-RISE - CLASS A

DELTA ASSOCIATES THANKS ALL OF OUR 2013 MARKET MAKER SURVEY PARTICIPANTS, AMONG WHOM ARE THE FOLLOWING:

Akridge Companies

AvalonBay Communities

Boston Properties

Clark Realty Capital

Donohoe Companies

DRI Development Services LLC

First Centrum Corporation

George Mason University

GID Companies

Gimbert Associates LLC

Hines Interests Ltd. Partnership

IBUS Management & Development Inc.

J Street Companies

John B. Levy & Co.

John Hancock Real Estate Finance Group

Kettler Management

LCOR

Monument Realty

Northwood Investors

Panattoni Development Company

Paradigm Development Co.

Penrose Group

Quadrangle Development Corporation

Rappaport Companies

RCP Development Company

Rosenthal Properties

Seaton Benkowski & Partners

Starr Capital

Transwestern

Velsor Properties

Washington Real Estate Investment Trust